

Message

---

**From:** Corbett, Kate (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KATE.CORBETT]  
**Sent:** 5/25/2011 5:38:30 PM  
**To:** Renczkowski, Daniel (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Daniel.Renczkowski]  
**Subject:** RE: CW v. [REDACTED] - JT 5/31

whoops...i just forwarded you the email from her...  
are you trying to get out of testifying for me????

---

From: Renczkowski, Daniel (DPH)  
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2011 8:30 AM  
To: Watson, Alexandra (NOR)  
Cc: Corbett, Kate (DPH)  
Subject: RE: CW v. [REDACTED] - JT 5/31

Hi Alex. Kate is out on [REDACTED] leave. I'll have to look up the data for the sample to see who did what in this case. Typically, one of us has custody of the sample and does the weight and preliminary tests and the other one of us would run the sample on the GC/MS to confirm the preliminary results. If I was the ADA, I would summons both chemists because the confirmatory chemist never sees the actual sample, just an aliquot in a vial with the sample number on it, and the custodial chemist does not do a structural analysis of the sample. However, we've gotten away with having only one or the other testify for the whole case, depending on the judge and defense counsel. I will have our evidence office look up to see which one of us did what and get back to you with that information as soon as I can.

Daniel Renczkowski  
Forensic Drug Laboratory  
William A Hinton State Laboratory Institute  
Massachusetts Department of Public Health  
305 South Street Boston, MA 02130  
voice 617-983-6630 fax 617-983-6625  
daniel.renczkowski@state.ma.us<mailto:daniel.renczkowski@state.ma.us>

---

From: Watson, Alexandra (NOR)  
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 5:10 PM  
To: Renczkowski, Daniel (DPH)  
Subject: FW: CW v. [REDACTED] - JT 5/31

Hi Daniel,

Please see email below that I sent to Kate.

Thank you!  
Alex

Alexandra G. Watson  
Assistant District Attorney  
Middlesex District Attorney's Office  
14 Summer Street  
Malden, MA 02148  
Phone: 781-897-8737  
Fax: 781-897-8676  
alexandra.watson@state.ma.us

The preceding email message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, may be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or may constitute non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s) named above. If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete all copies of it from your computer system. Any use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

---

From: Watson, Alexandra (NOR)  
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 5:08 PM  
To: Corbett, Kate (DPH)  
Subject: CW v. [REDACTED] - JT 5/31

Hi Kate,

This is going to be a late summons for a jury trial on 5/31 in Malden District Court. Before I send out the summonses, I'm curious whether I need to summons both you and Daniel Renczkowski, as you're both listed on the cert. as "Assistant Analysts." Here is the cert info.:

Defendant: [REDACTED]  
No.: [REDACTED]  
Date received: 12/20/06  
Date analyzed: 3/15/07  
Drug: Heroin

Do I need both of you to prove it's heroin, or is just one enough? If so, which one of you?

Thank you!  
Alex

Alexandra G. Watson  
Assistant District Attorney  
Middlesex District Attorney's Office  
14 Summer Street  
Malden, MA 02148  
Phone: 781-897-8737  
Fax: 781-897-8676  
alexandra.watson@state.ma.us

The preceding email message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, may be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or may constitute non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s) named above. If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete all copies of it from your computer system. Any use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.